

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/657,166	SAKAI, KEITA
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tim Heitbrink	1722

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Lawrence Stahl.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2-22-06

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Stahl agreed to the changes to claims 1-5 in order to put said claims in proper idiomatic English. Cancelation of non-elected claims 6-21 was done in order to expedite the allowance of the instant application.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
*Tim Heitbrink*  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)